UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,252	03/07/2002	Gang Wu	4035-0148P	9279
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 01/11/2008 ART KOLASCH & BIRCH		EXAM	INER
PO BOX 747			ROBERTS	, BRIAN S
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2008	. ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		1 2 2 2	A		
Office Action Summany		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/092,252	WU ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
·	The MAIL INC DATE of this assumption is a second	Brian Roberts	2619		
Period for	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the C	urrespondence address		
A SHC WHICI - Extens after S - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRIENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 EIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		,			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De	ecember 2007.			
• —	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
-	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
(closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	on of Claims	•			
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application	on Papers				
10)⊠ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 13 November 2007 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate		
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application		

10/092,252 Art Unit: 2619

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 and 2 remain pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/12/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - In reference to claim 1

The phrase "a plurality of common core networks" in claim 1 renders the claim indefinite. There can not be a plurality of common core networks. There can only be one common core network. Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written

Application/Control Number:

10/092,252 Art Unit: 2619

description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The Examiner notes that Figure 4b and page 20 of the specification define a global common core network as comprising a plurality of regional common core networks. Therefore, the Examiner assumes the phrase should read "a plurality of regional common core networks". The Examiner notes, however, that there is no difference between a "global common core network comprising a plurality of regional common core networks" and "common core network".

Furthermore, claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural elements. Lines 10-17 describe functions of the common core network without claiming corresponding structures of the common core network to perform the described functions.

In reference to claim 2

Claim 2 is rejected as being dependent on rejected independent claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-2, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Xu et al "DRiVE-ing to the Internet: Dynamic Radio for IP Services in Vehicular Environments".

In reference to claim 1

In Figure 2, Xu et al. teaches a DRiVE network architecture that includes a DRiVE core network (*common core network*) inherently comprised of a plurality of regional DRiVE core networks wherein each regional DRiVE core network (*common core network*) comprising:

- A mobility management (mobility manager) that has a function of tracing a
 location of a DRiVE mobile terminal (mobile host) to determine an radio
 access system (access network) effective at a position of the location and
 function of carrying out local handoffs within the regional DRiVE core network
 (common core network) and handoffs for external networks based on mobile
 IP (sections 3.1 and 3.2)
- A traffic control (resource manager) that coordinates traffic distribution and is responsible for resource allocation and admission control to support the traffic distribution in the regional DRiVE core network (common core network)
 (sections 3.1 and 3.2)
- The regional DRiVE core network (common core network) supporting DRiVE mobile terminal (mobile host) roaming within a radio access system
 (homogeneous radio communication network) and between a plurality of different radio access systems (heterogeneous radio communication

10/092,252 Art Unit: 2619

networks) based on Internet Protocol (network layer of OSI model) and enables Internet access via a DRiVE border gateway (gateway router) and access to a plurality of base stations inherently located in the radio access systems simultaneously by communicating with the traffic control (resource manager) residing in the regional DRiVE core network (common core network) via a network selector of interfaces in the base stations (sections 3.1, 3.2, 3.3)

- Each regional DRiVE core networks is connected via the Internet to access other regional DRiVE core networks that collectively comprise the DRiVE core network (sections 3.1 and 3.2)
- In reference to claim 2

In Figure 2, Xu et al. further teaches:

- A Micro mobility management function supporting handover for any DRiVE
 mobile terminal (mobile host) roaming between base stations belonging to a
 radio access system (homogeneous radio communication networks) (sections
 3.1 and 3.2)
- A Macro mobility management function utilizing Mobile IPv6, supporting
 between a plurality of regional DRiVE core networks (common core network),
 handover for any DRiVE mobile terminal (mobile host) roaming between base
 stations belonging to a radio access system (homogeneous radio
 communication networks) (sections 3.1 and 3.2)

10/092,252 Art Unit: 2619

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/13/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- In the Remarks on pg. 8 of the Amendment, the Applicant contends that Xu et
 al. does not teach a plurality of common core networks or the function of a
 mobility manager and resource manger.
- The Examiner respectfully disagrees. There can not be a plurality of common core networks. There can only be one common core network. Furthermore, there is no difference between a "global common core network comprising a plurality of regional common core networks" and "common core network" because a "common core network" is a "global common core network" and inherently can be said to include a plurality of regional common core networks. In Figure 2, Xu et al. teaches a mobility management (mobility manager) and a traffic control (resource manager). (sections 3.1 and 3.2)

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Roberts whose telephone number is (571) 272-3095. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-7:30.

Application/Control Number:

10/092,252 Art Unit: 2619

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wing Chan can be reached on (571) 272-7493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BSR 01/04/2008

WING CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER